



Funded by
the European Union



MEDIA
INITIATIVES
CENTER



COMMITTEE TO PROTECT
FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

***“Initiative for Media Quality and Resilience:
Next Chapter in Media Empowerment” Project***

MONITORING OF THE JUNE 7, 2026 RA NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS COVERAGE IN THE ARMENIAN BROADCAST MEDIA

*This report was produced with the financial assistance of the European Union.
The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of Yerevan Press Club and
can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union.*

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS



YEREVAN PRESS CLUB

www.ypc.am

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE MONITORING	4
REPORT ON THE MONITORING OF THE JUNE 7, 2026 RA NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS COVERAGE IN THE ARMENIAN BROADCAST MEDIA	6
MAIN PROBLEMS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IDENTIFIED AS A RESULT OF THE MONITORING	16
MONITORING METHODOLOGY	19
TABLES	22

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE MONITORING

The monitoring of the coverage of the 2026 National Assembly elections in Armenian broadcast media was conducted by the Yerevan Press Club within the framework of "Initiative for Media Quality and Resilience: Next Chapter in Media Empowerment" project, with financial support from the European Union. The monitoring covered the period from 8 May to 5 June 2026, corresponding to the official election campaign.

The monitoring examined 17 political parties and 2 blocs registered by the Central Electoral Commission (CEC) to participate in the parliamentary elections through nationwide proportional party lists. Monitoring of the "Alliance" Progressive Centrist Party continued only until 27 May 2026, after which the party withdrew from the election campaign and no longer participated in the elections.

POLITICAL PARTIES AND BLOCS INCLUDED IN THE MONITORING

1.	REFORMISTS PARTY
2.	"AGAINST ALL" DEMOCRATIC PARTY
3.	"STRONG ARMENIA" BLOC
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA
5.	"NEW POWER" REFORMIST PARTY
6.	"WINGS OF UNITY" PARTY
7.	"PROSPEROUS ARMENIA" PARTY
8.	"NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE" ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY
9.	"KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION" PARTY
10.	"ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS" PARTY
11.	"REPUBLIC" PARTY
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
13.	"ALLIANCE" PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (<i>until May 27, 2026</i>)
14.	"DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION" PARTY
15.	"DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE" PARTY
16.	"CIVIL CONTRACT" PARTY
17.	"ARMENIA" BLOC
18.	"FOR THE REPUBLIC" ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY
19.	"BRIGHT ARMENIA" PARTY

No monitoring was conducted on Election Silence Day.

OBJECTIVES OF THE MONITORING

The monitoring aimed, through quantitative analysis, to determine:

- the level of attention devoted by Armenian broadcast media to the 2026 parliamentary elections;
- whether broadcast media provided free, balanced, and impartial coverage of the election campaign of participating political parties and blocs, thereby facilitating informed and independent voter choice;
- the extent to which media complied with the legal provisions regulating election coverage;
- whether media adhered to universally recognized ethical standards and relevant professional recommendations while covering the 2026 parliamentary elections.

To achieve these objectives, both quantitative and qualitative indicators were applied.

The record of data in the framework of the monitoring was carried out on two levels: the form and nature of references to parties/blocs running in the elections to the RA NA by national electoral lists, in TV pieces, as well as the statements and video episodes related to a party/bloc, contained in TV pieces in the aspect of their compliance with the principles of professional ethics.

The airtime of television materials entirely or partially dedicated to parties/coalitions/their leaders/representatives was not subject to monitoring, since the monitoring and control of airtime provided to parties and blocs during election processes is carried out by the Commission on Television and Radio (CTR)

A separate list and analysis of guests invited to the monitored programmes was also prepared.

The detailed monitoring methodology is presented at the end of the report.

REPORT ON THE MONITORING OF THE JUNE 7, 2026 RA NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS COVERAGE IN THE ARMENIAN BROADCAST MEDIA

The monitoring covered ten television channels. From the public multiplex, the following broadcasters were included: First Channel of Public Television of Armenia (H1), First Channel News, “Armenia”, “Kentron”, “Shant”, “5 TV Channel”, “FreeNews”. [Under the Electoral Code](#) of the Republic of Armenia, public multiplex broadcasters have certain obligations concerning balanced election coverage, including the provision of paid and free airtime, balanced coverage, restrictions on political advertising, and oversight in relation to electoral processes.

In addition, the monitoring included “Azatutyun TV”, “CivilNet”, “Factor TV”, which also influence the political orientation of audiences.

On these channels, the study examined the main editions of evening news programmes and public-political interview and debate programmes broadcast during evening prime time, **from 18:00 to 24:00**. Commercial and social advertising, announcement blocks within programmes, political advertising blocks, and scrolling tickers were not monitored.

The object of monitoring consisted of all television materials in which there was a reference to parties and blocs participating in the parliamentary elections through nationwide electoral lists, as well as to their leaders and representatives.

Programmes monitored

Broadcaster	Programmes monitored
First Channel of Public Television of Armenia	“Lurer” main news edition; Interview with Petros Ghazaryan; Public Discussion; The Great Debate; Armenia Elects
First Channel News	Interview with Anna Danielyan; Open Platform
“Armenia”	“Zham” main news edition; Election Hour
“Kentron”	“Epicenter” main news edition; Important Topic; Key Issue debate programme
“Shant”	“Horizon” main news edition; Perspective; Perspective Debate
“5 TV Channel”	“Haylur” main news edition; Interview
“FreeNews”	“Free News” main news edition; Free Conversation
“Azatutyun TV”	Main news edition; Karlen Aslanyan’s Debate
“CivilNet”	Daily interviews; Viewpoint
“Factor TV”	Daily interviews; debate-discussion programmes

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

The monitoring calculated the number of references to parties and blocs, the nature of those references using sign-based characteristics, and ethical violations, including insults, threats, profanity, and unjustified interference in private life. The sources of ethical violations appearing on a given media outlet were differentiated as follows: representatives of political forces, other persons speaking about the elections, and journalists or presenters.

Cases were also recorded in which journalists or presenters, during debates or interviews, repeatedly urged guests to refrain from insults and disrespectful treatment.

During the period from 8 May to 5 June 2026, 1,636 items were monitored. The total number of references to parties and blocs was 3,025. Of these, 1,155 were items fully devoted to a particular party or bloc, 1,821 were items partially devoted to parties or blocs, and 49 were simple mentions of all parties or blocs.

Overall, there were 183 positive references, 730 negative references, and 2,112 neutral references.

The total number of ethical violations in statements or video episodes concerning parties and blocs was 156. Of these, 145 originated from representatives of political forces participating in the elections, while 11 originated from other persons.

Number of campaign-related items by broadcaster

According to the number of campaign-related items, the most active media outlets were “5 TV Channel” and the First Channel of Public Television of Armenia. The least active were the First Channel News and “CivilNet”.

Broadcaster	Number of items
“5 TV Channel”	295
First Channel of Public Television of Armenia	272
“Azatutyun TV”	218
“Armenia”	211
“Kentron”	209
“FreeNews”	175
“Shant”	162
“Factor TV”	39
“CivilNet”	29
First Channel News	26


2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

Distribution of attention among parties and blocs

In terms of the number of references to parties and blocs, the “Civil Contract” Party received the greatest attention: 1,057 references, or 35 percent of all references. The abundance of references to “Civil Contract” was also conditioned by the fact that during the campaign almost all political forces referred to that party repeatedly.

The least attention was given to the “Kochari National Revival and Awakening of the Nation” Party, with 28 references, or around 1 percent of all references, and the “Alliance” Progressive Centrist Party, with 26 references, also around 1 percent. The small number of references to the “Alliance” Progressive Centrist Party was related to the fact that at a certain point it withdrew from the electoral race, did not participate in the elections, and its coverage was monitored only until 27 May 2026.

Political force	References	Share
“Civil Contract” Party	1,057	35.0%
“Strong Armenia” Bloc	451	14.9%
“Armenia” Bloc	385	12.7%
“Prosperous Armenia” Party	299	9.9%
“Wings of Unity” Party	100	3.3%
“Bright Armenia” Party	83	2.7%
“Armenian National Congress” Party	74	2.4%
“Republic” Party	70	2.3%
“Against All” Democratic Party	62	2.0%
Meritocratic Party of Armenia	61	2.0%
“New Power” Reformist Party	54	1.8%
“For The Republic” Alliance of Democracy Defenders Party	52	1.7%
“Democratic Consolidation” Party	51	1.7%
“National-Democratic Alliance” All-Armenian Party	49	1.6%
Reformists Party	49	1.6%
“Democracy Law Discipline” Party	40	1.3%
Christian-Democratic Party	34	1.1%
“Kochari National Revival and Awakening of the Nation” Party	28	about 1%
“Alliance” Progressive Centrist Party	26	about 1%




Positive and negative references

Regarding references with sign-based characteristics-positive, negative, and neutral-the following picture was recorded. "Civil Contract" received the largest number of positive references, 59, or 32 percent of all positive references. The same party also received the largest number of negative references, 400, or 55 percent of all negative references. In terms of positive references, the "Armenia" Bloc was in second place with 43 references, or 23 percent of all positive references. In terms of negative references, "Strong Armenia" was second with 137 references, or 19 percent of all negative references.

In several television channels, significant differences were recorded between positive and negative references. **"5 TV Channel"** should be singled out: "Civil Contract" received zero positive and 183 negative references on its air, while the "Armenia" Bloc received 24 positive and zero negative references. On the **First Channel of Public Television of Armenia**, "Strong Armenia" had zero positive and 59 negative references. On "Kentron", "Civil Contract" had zero positive and 49 negative references.

Among the studied channels, **"CivilNet"** and **"Factor TV"** attempted to include as few references with sign-based characteristics as possible in materials devoted to parties and blocs. The exception was "Civil Contract": in "CivilNet" and "Factor TV", respectively 10 and 12 negative references to that party were recorded. The abundance of references to "Civil Contract" was related to the fact that almost all rival political forces referred to that party repeatedly.

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS



General picture of parties/blocs that have received any reference (positive and/or negative).


Party or bloc	Positive & negative references	Positive references	Negative references
“Civil Contract” Party	459 (50.3%)	59 (32.2%)	400 (54.8%)
“Strong Armenia” Bloc	170 (18.6%)	33 (18.0%)	137 (18.8%)
“Armenia” Bloc	137 (15.0%)	43 (23.5%)	94 (12.9%)
“Prosperous Armenia” Party	107 (11.7%)	23 (12.6%)	84 (11.5%)
“Wings of Unity” Party	10 (1.1%)	2 (1.1%)	8 (1.1%)
“New Power” Reformist Party	8 (0.9%)	8 (4.4%)	0
“Republic” Party	5 (0.5%)	4 (2.2%)	1 (0.1%)
“Armenian National Congress” Party	5 (0.5%)	2 (1.1%)	3 (0.4%)
“Bright Armenia” Party	3 (0.3%)	1 (0.5%)	2 (0.3%)
“Democratic Consolidation” Party	2 (0.2%)	2 (1.1%)	0
Meritocratic Party of Armenia	2 (0.2%)	2 (1.1%)	0
“Against All” Democratic Party	2 (0.2%)	1 (0.5%)	1 (0.1%)
Reformists Party	2 (0.2%)	2 (1.1%)	0
“For The Republic” Alliance of Democracy Defenders Party	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.5%)	0


Positive and negative references by broadcaster

The largest number of references with sign-based characteristics was observed on “5 TV Channel”: 57 positive references, or 31 percent of all positive references, and 191 negative references, or 26 percent of all negative references.

On the First Channel of Public Television of Armenia, negative references were also numerous: 173 references, or 23 percent of all negative references, while positive references amounted to only 17, or 9 percent of all positive references. The smallest number of references with sign-based characteristics was recorded on “CivilNet”: zero positive and 17 negative references, or 2 percent of all negative references.

Broadcaster	Positive references	Negative references
“5 TV Channel”	57 (31.1%)	191 (26.2%)
First Channel of Public Television of Armenia	17 (9.3%)	173 (23.7%)
“FreeNews”	22 (12.0%)	90 (12.3%)
“Azatutyun TV”	8 (4.4%)	83 (11.4%)
“Shant”	41 (22.4%)	28 (3.8%)
“Kentron”	16 (8.7%)	52 (7.1%)
“Armenia”	18 (9.8%)	39 (5.3%)
First Channel News	3 (1.6%)	29 (4.0%)
“Factor TV”	1 (0.5%)	28 (3.8%)
“CivilNet”	0 (0%)	17 (2.3%)
Total	183 (100%)	730 (100%)





Ethical violations

With regard to ethical violations, political representatives throughout the campaign repeatedly used harsh, insulting, and almost profanity-laden expressions directed at their competitors. Across the ten television channels studied, 156 violations of ethical standards were identified at the level of statements and video episodes.

The largest number of violations concerned statements or video episodes relating to the "Civil Contract" Party. The number of such violations was 66, which represents 42 percent of the total. Violations related to "Prosperous Armenia" numbered 32, or 20 percent. They were followed by the "Armenia" Bloc with 30 violations, or 19 percent, and the "Strong Armenia" Bloc with 24 violations, or 15 percent. The number of violations then dropped sharply: "New Power" Reformist Party had 3 violations, or around 2 percent, and "Bright Armenia" had 1 violation, or 0.6 percent. No violations were recorded in relation to the remaining parties.

The largest number of ethical violations was recorded on "Azatutyun TV": 44 violations, or 28 percent of the total. As noted in the report, these violations resulted from reproducing statements made by political forces. "5 TV Channel" followed with 42 violations, or 26 percent. The fewest violations were observed on "Shant": 1 violation, or 0.6 percent.

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

Broadcaster	Ethical violations	Share
“Azatutyun TV”	44	28.0%
“5 TV Channel”	42	26.0%
First Channel of Public Television of Armenia	29	18.6%
“FreeNews”	19	12.2%
“Factor TV”	8	5.1%
First Channel News	4	2.6%
“CivilNet”	3	1.9%
“Armenia”	3	1.9%
“Kentron”	3	1.9%
“Shant”	1	0.6%

As examples of frequently recurring violations, the report mentions expressions broadcast on air such as “three-headed party” and “three-headed devil”. These expressions were especially often heard in relation to “Strong Armenia”, the “Armenia” Bloc, and “Prosperous Armenia”, particularly on “FreeNews”, “Azatutyun TV”, and “5 TV Channel”. Expressions such as “lay on the asphalt”, “glue to the asphalt”, and “cannibal” were also used.

The monitored media outlets adopted different approaches to statements containing ethical violations during the election campaign. Some believed that the dissemination of such statements should be limited in order to reduce intolerance and aggression in society. Others considered it necessary to provide voters with a complete picture of political figures before voting. The report states that both approaches may be regarded as acceptable, provided that there is no special favorable attitude toward, or tendency to discredit, any political force in the selection of quotations.

The **First Channel of Public Television** stood out through its public debates under the title “Armenia Elects”. At the beginning of each programme, when presenting the rules, the moderator mentioned the need to avoid insults and maintain respectful treatment. However, in many cases political figures violated these rules. During debates, violations of ethics or on-air conduct concerned mutual accusations by participating political forces. Tense confrontations, mutual insults, threats, and expressions humiliating dignity were recorded among participants. Some participants violated time limits by shouting from their seats, speaking over others, making remarks, and ignoring calls by the presenter or moderator.

On the **First Channel News**, ethical violations were minimal, with four violations recorded. “Armenia” generally provided restrained coverage, and during debates a respectful attitude toward guests was observed. Only three episodes containing ethical violations were recorded, in which political figures used profane expressions and insults against competitors.

“**Shant**” sought to present all participants in a balanced and restrained manner. One ethical violation was recorded. During interviews, presenters urged guests not to use insults.

“**Kentron**” during this campaign attempted to avoid episodes of ethical violations. In the monitored programmes, only three such episodes were recorded. Even the sharpest moments of the indirect confrontation between “Civil Contract” and “Prosperous Armenia”, or between Nikol Pashinyan and Gagik Tsarukyan, were presented with a certain degree of restraint.

On “**5 TV Channel**”, an evidently emphasized negative attitude toward Nikol Pashinyan was recorded, including descriptions of him as “Azerbaijan’s agent”, a person “destroying the state”, or a person threatening society through war manipulation. In general, this channel included the highest volume of insults, hate speech, defamation, and threats from various sides. Opposition figures used harsh vocabulary against Pashinyan, including “liar”, “deceiver”, “fool”, “cardsharp”, “does not take his medicine”, “drunk”, “clown”, and “vagabond”. On this channel, ethical violations were made not only by political figures but also by other persons. Sarcastic tone and smirking were also noticeable in the intonation and emphasis of some journalists.

On “**FreeNews**”, common insults such as “fool” were heard. “FreeNews” also repeatedly presented recordings concerning “Strong Armenia” which, according to Armenia’s Anti-Corruption Committee, allegedly pointed to vote-buying. The discourse of “three-headed devils” was often heard.

The main coverage of “**Azatutyun TV**” was balanced. As a rule, negative speech about “Civil Contract” was followed by “Civil Contract’s” argument or response. Offensive or vulgar expressions were voiced by political figures, and debate participants were warned that offensive statements should be avoided.

“**Factor TV**” sought to cover the campaign as correctly as possible. Episodes containing ethical violations were disseminated through the initiative or reproduction of statements by political figures, but explicitly harsh or shocking profanity-laden insults were not recorded. On “**CivilNet**”, statements were more often sharp criticisms rather than insults or profanity; three violations were recorded.

In the cited cases of ethical violations, broadcasters as a rule cannot be held responsible for the violations themselves, because the violations resulted not from statements made by journalists but from the reproduction of statements by representatives of political forces or other persons. Nevertheless, broadcasters are not entirely passive: editorial choices about whether and how to reproduce such statements remain important.

Representation of political forces as guests in studio formats

Regarding the proportionality of political representatives’ participation in “guest in studio” formats, the following picture was recorded. Although most television channels sought to host as diverse a range of political forces as possible, the amount of airtime allocated to guests varied significantly. It may be assumed that in many cases this was conditioned by the readiness of political figures to accept invitations, as well as by audience interest in one or another party or bloc.

The First Channel of Public Television of Armenia tried to invite everyone. Representatives of parties and blocs participating in the elections took part in the monitored programmes between four and eight times. “Civil Contract” and “Strong Armenia” were represented most frequently, eight times each.

The First Channel News also attempted to invite everyone. “Bright Armenia” and “Alliance” Progressive Centrist Party were not represented. The “Armenia” Bloc participated twice in the monitored programmes, while the remaining forces participated once each.

“Armenia” invited only representatives of those forces considered the main competitors: “Civil Contract” nine times, “Strong Armenia” seven times, and the “Armenia” Bloc five times.

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

On “FreeNews”, only the “For The Republic” Alliance of Democracy Defenders Party was represented in this format. In general, preference was given to experts and analysts. On “Kentron”, most parties and blocs were represented once or twice in the monitored programmes. Five of the forces participating in the campaign were not represented in this format at all, including “Alliance” Progressive Centrist Party.

On “Shant”, “Strong Armenia” was represented eight times, “Civil Contract” four times, three forces were not represented at all, and the others appeared once or twice. On “5 TV Channel”, the “Armenia” Bloc was represented six times. Seven parties or blocs, including “Civil Contract”, did not participate in programmes of this format at all. Others were represented once or twice. Compared with other channels, political polarization was expressed much more clearly on “5 TV Channel”. The channel did not particularly seek to provide a platform to competitors of the “Armenia” Bloc; the latter, for their part, also apparently did not wish to appear on that channel.

“CivilNet” attempted to invite political forces that were of greater interest to the audience. Seven parties either were not invited or rejected the invitation to participate. The others appeared between one and three times.

“Azatutyun TV” invited to debates not so much politicians as representatives of society who expressed different political views.

“Factor TV”, through the “guest in studio” format, attempted to provide a platform to representatives of all political forces participating in the elections. “Civil Contract” was invited most frequently, twelve times, while other parties and blocs were represented between zero and five times in the same format.

In general, the involvement of specific parties and blocs in programmes was conditioned by a number of factors: the activity of parties and blocs, the political orientations of media outlets, audience interest in one or another force, and the unwillingness of representatives of political forces to participate in programmes. The last factor was observed in almost all monitored broadcasters, with the exception of the First Channel of Public Television of Armenia.

MAIN PROBLEMS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IDENTIFIED AS A RESULT OF THE MONITORING

Short official campaign period

The official campaign period, from 8 May to 5 June, was short. As a result, parties with greater resources were able to develop campaign activity much earlier, at a time when the relevant regulations were not yet in force, and to exert a dominant influence on voters. A possible solution could be a ban on political campaigning outside the official pre-election campaign period, at least for broadcasters included in the public multiplex and perhaps also for licensed broadcasters. Another possible solution would be to extend the official campaign period.

Day of Silence

Violations of the rules of the “day of silence” are a consequence of changes in the communication environment brought about by technological development. Often such violations are not followed by any legal liability.

A possible solution could be to abolish the institution of the day of silence or to revise the procedure for holding violators accountable in accordance with contemporary realities.

Polarized rhetoric

Campaign rhetoric was highly polarized. Possible solutions in this case are related not to the activities of the media, but to changes in the relationships among political forces and the broader political atmosphere.

Obstacles to balanced coverage

During the pre-election campaign period, the monitored television channels paid relatively balanced attention to parties and blocs participating in the parliamentary elections. Most broadcasters attempted to ensure balance through reports and interviews prepared on their own initiative.

However, the absence of clear legal regulation and monitoring by the National Commission on Television and Radio outside the official campaign period substantially limits the opportunities of relatively new or lesser-known political parties to inform citizens about their activities and election programmes. Many voters make their decision long before the official campaign, which in 2026 was limited to only one month, or 29 days. In the case of snap elections, the campaign is even shorter and may be limited to two weeks. This again highlights the need for regulation and monitoring, as well as the importance of ensuring political pluralism in Armenian television broadcasting over a much longer period than the short official campaign.

Representation in debate and interview formats

In general, the monitored television channels sought to reflect the diversity of campaign participants by inviting them to “guest in studio” and interview formats. Some persons not directly participating in the campaign, including experts and civil society representatives, were also represented in these programmes. As noted above, an attempt was generally made to represent almost all major political forces, although in many cases their representation was uneven in terms of time.

In some cases, the unequal representation of guests may have had an objective basis, and such justifications were submitted to the Commission on Television and Radio; for example, in cases where guests were invited but did not attend. Then, on June 12, 2026, the Commission presented its monitoring report, providing the relevant information about the observance of pre-election campaigning order to the public.

In addition, it was observed that political representatives also appeared in non-political programmes outside the scope of this monitoring. In such programmes, balance in the selection of guests was generally not maintained. For example, the programme “Evening on Shant” occasionally invited representatives of political forces, but the balance of participants was not preserved. Even if the subject of such programmes was mainly or entirely not politics, the participation of candidates or political figures and their presentation in a positive light could not fail to influence the attitude of the electorate, thereby creating unequal conditions for political forces.

Changing media environment and limits of mechanical airtime measurement

New technological possibilities related to communication call into question the appropriateness of existing legal regulations aimed at balanced election coverage by one segment of the media sector. In particular, the mechanical measurement of airtime allocated by terrestrial broadcasters to parties and blocs loses much of its relevance in the presence of alternative possibilities for campaigning.

On the other hand, the total airtime provided by a television channel does not generally take into account the relative importance of that time in specific programmes. One example is the above-mentioned “Evening on Shant” programme, which was not an object of monitoring by the Yerevan Press Club during the campaign. Another example is the First Channel’s programme “Interview with Petros Ghazaryan”, where the duration of interviews with leaders of political forces during the campaign could vary significantly, from around 30 minutes to one and a half hours.

Even if overall airtime balance was ensured through other programmes, the significance of the minutes allocated in different programmes could not be equivalent in terms of influence on the audience.

It is clear that under the existing circumstances it is impossible to create even relatively equal conditions for campaigning through the media by legal regulation alone. Therefore, editorial policy and mechanisms of professional self-regulation should acquire greater importance among broadcasters that claim to serve the public interest rather than particular political forces.

Willingness to participate in debates

It should be noted that Armenian political figures are not always willing to participate in debates and open discussions on air. For example, some participants in the electoral race did not respond to invitations from the Public Television and Radio Company.

This problem in Armenian pre-election practice makes more urgent the Yerevan Press Club's earlier proposal to enshrine in the Electoral Code an obligation to participate in debates on Public Television. Such an obligation could serve as a temporary instrument until participation in debates becomes an integral part of political culture. At the same time, this requires clearer regulation of debates, which would guarantee equal conditions for debaters and help voters make the best possible decision before voting.

Violations of ethical standards

During this one-month campaign period, violations of ethical standards were recorded quite frequently. The source of unethical statements was mainly representatives of political forces rather than presenters or journalists, which to some extent relieves media outlets of responsibility. However, it should be noted that in some cases media outlets and journalists cannot be viewed as entirely passive actors, even if they are not the direct source of content containing ethical violations.

Media outlets should develop and apply internal rules that, on the one hand, reduce the flow of content containing insults, profanity, threats, and unjustified interference in private life, while on the other hand do not hinder the possibility of obtaining an adequate picture of political figures.

MONITORING METHODOLOGY

I. The main unit of the study was **TV piece**.

The following was regarded as a TV piece:

The airtime unit, distinct in its theme, composition and design, i.e.:

- a. a separate story in the newscast;
- b. a separate communication, presented by the programme host;
- c. a part (section, story) of the programme, touching on different issues/problems, made distinct by theme, composition and design (with a bloom, a jingle, etc.);
- d. introductory announcements of the pieces were viewed as a part of the story they referred to;
- e. the text of the host, introducing the TV piece (report, etc.), was viewed as a part of this piece (report, etc.).

II. The monitoring team **determined** and **recorded**:

1. Number and form of references to parties/blocs//their leaders/representatives in TV pieces

Monitors determined and recorded in the appropriate table sections the presence and form of references to parties/blocs/their leaders/representatives in a TV piece.

A. A piece **fully** dealing with a party/bloc/its leaders/ representatives:

A piece was considered fully dealing with a party/bloc, even if it included a reference to another party/bloc, or other topic(s) outside of the scope of the subject of the current monitoring, but such reference was **subordinate** to the main topic. If such a piece contained a reference (of subordinate nature) to another party/bloc, this party/bloc was also recorded in the appropriate table section, according to the form of the reference to it (partly dealing or a mentioning).

B. A piece **partly** dealing with a party/bloc//its leaders/representatives:

A piece was considered partly dealing with a party/bloc, when it contained an **equivalent** reference to another party/bloc or other topic(s) outside of the scope of the subject of the current monitoring. If such a piece contained equivalent references to two or more parties/blocs, each one of these parties/blocs was also recorded as “partly dealing”.

C. A piece containing a *mentioning* of a party/bloc/its leaders/representatives:

Mentionings were considered to be cases where a party/bloc/its leaders were merely named in a piece, without any supplementary information or characteristics about them provided.

Any appearance of the leaders of a party/bloc (individuals occupying the first three positions on the party electoral list or the leading figure of a bloc-forming party) in a TV piece was considered as a reference to that party/bloc, regardless of the capacity in which these individuals appeared (even if they were presented in the piece as fulfilling their non-party, official or professional duties). Consequently, any such reference was studied according to all the categories listed above and below (form and nature of the reference, airtime).

These categories were measured in *units*.

2. Nature of references to parties/blocs/their leaders/representatives in TV pieces

Monitors determined and recorded in the appropriate table section the nature of references to a party/bloc/its leaders/representatives in a TV piece as *positive (+)*, *negative (-)* or *neutral (0)*.

Connotational (positive, negative) references were understood as those contained in pieces that left an unambiguous overall positive or negative impression of the party/bloc and its leaders/representatives on the audience. When the tone was not that evident, the reference was recorded as neutral. All doubts on the part of monitors were also interpreted in favour of neutral reference.

Furthermore, if it was indicated in a piece that a certain individual/organization had publicly declared support/non-support for a party/bloc during the elections to the RA National Assembly, the reference to that party/bloc was recorded as positive/negative, respectively.

In each piece only one connotation (+, - or 0) to each party/bloc/its leaders/representatives was recorded.

This category was measured *in units*.

3. Assessment of statements and video excerpts about parties/blocs/their leaders/representatives in TV pieces from the perspective of their compliance with the principles of professional ethics enshrined in the Code of Ethics of Armenian Media and Journalists

The monitoring team evaluated and recorded violations of ethical norms in statements and video excerpts concerning parties/blocs/their leaders/representatives (within the framework of this monitoring, a video excerpt was understood as a television frame displayed without accompanying verbal commentary). At the same time, monitors evaluated also the statements made by the parties/blocs/their leaders/representatives themselves.

The evaluation relied on the principles enshrined in the [Code of Ethics of Armenian Media and Journalists](#) and the precedents of their application in the work of Media Ethics Observatory. In particular, the following principles of the Code were taken into consideration:

- Principle 1: Accuracy and impartiality (Articles 1.3, 1.5 and 1.6 of the Code)
- Principle 4: Respect for privacy and other human rights (Articles 4.1, 4.3, 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7 of the Code)
- Principle 5: Respect for representatives of different groups and for universal values (Articles 5.1 to 5.4 of the Code)
- Principle 7: Integrity in covering elections and referendums (Articles 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 7.5 of the Code).

In cases of violation of ethical principles in statements/video excerpts concerning parties/blocs/their leaders/representatives, it was also specified who committed the violation:

1. The programme host/authors of the piece;
2. Representatives of a political force participating in the elections;
3. Others (featured subjects in pieces, programme participants, interviewees, quoted persons, etc.).

The “Others” category also included media representatives and journalists who, while not being hosts/authors, appeared as featured subjects/participants in the piece/programme.

III. Recording the participants of studied programmes

During the evening prime time slot (**18.00-00.00**) of each studied TV channel, monitors recorded in separate lists the participants of various programmes in **“guest-in-studio”/talk-show/interview** format (including news programmes if they featured any of these formats). The name(s) of the invited participants and their position(s) were specified in the lists.

TABLES

PRE-ELECTION PROMOTION PERIOD

MAY 8 - JUNE 5, 2026

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

First Channel of Public Television of Armenia (H1), First Channel News,
 “Armenia”, “Kentron”, “Shant”, “5 TV Channel”, “FreeNews”, “Azatutyun TV”, “CivilNet”, “Factor TV”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	1636
------------------	------

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	49	27	21	1	2	0	47
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	62	31	30	1	1	1	60
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	451	223	226	2	33	137	281
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	61	22	37	2	2	0	59
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	54	17	35	2	8	0	46
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	100	46	53	1	2	8	90
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	299	130	161	8	23	84	192
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	49	24	24	1	0	0	49
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	28	11	16	1	0	0	28
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	74	36	37	1	2	3	69
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	70	32	37	1	4	1	65
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	34	17	16	1	0	0	34
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY <i>(until May 27, 2026)</i>	26	15	10	1	0	0	26
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	51	29	21	1	2	0	49
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	40	15	24	1	0	0	40
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	1057	257	782	18	59	400	598
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	385	146	235	4	43	94	248
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	52	31	20	1	1	0	51
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	83	46	36	1	1	2	80
	TOTAL	3025	1155	1821	49	183	730	2112

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

First Channel of Public Television of Armenia (H1), First Channel News,
 “Armenia”, “Kentron”, “Shant”, “5 TV Channel”, “FreeNews”, “Azatutyun TV”, “CivilNet”, “Factor TV”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	1636
------------------	------

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	24	0	23	1	0	24	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	3	0	3	0	0	3	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	32	0	31	1	0	32	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	66	0	60	6	0	66	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	30	0	27	3	0	30	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	TOTAL	156	0	145	11	0	156	0

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

First Channel of Public Television of Armenia (H1)

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	272
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	10	3	6	1	0	0	10
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	18	9	8	1	0	1	17
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	101	46	53	2	0	59	42
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	11	2	8	1	0	0	11
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	9	1	7	1	1	0	8
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	18	4	13	1	0	3	15
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	65	23	37	5	1	31	33
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	11	4	6	1	0	0	11
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	9	2	6	1	0	0	9
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	15	5	9	1	1	1	13
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	18	8	9	1	0	1	17
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	11	4	6	1	0	0	11
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	6	3	2	1	0	0	6
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	11	4	6	1	1	0	10
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	13	4	8	1	0	0	13
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	164	45	110	9	11	43	110
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	71	22	48	1	1	32	38
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	16	8	7	1	0	0	16
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	19	8	10	1	1	2	16
	TOTAL	596	205	359	32	17	173	406

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

First Channel of Public Television of Armenia (H1)

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	272
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	7	0	7	0	0	7	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	8	0	8	0	0	8	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY <i>(until May 27, 2026)</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	5	0	5	0	0	5	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	9	0	8	1	0	9	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	29	0	28	1	0	29	0

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

First Channel News

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	26
------------------	----

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	2	1	1	0	0	0	2
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	10	1	9	0	0	3	7
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	3	1	2	0	0	1	2
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	10	1	9	0	0	5	5
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	3	1	2	0	0	1	2
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	2	1	1	0	0	0	2
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY <i>(until May 27, 2026)</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	23	1	21	1	3	11	9
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	12	1	11	0	0	8	4
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	74	17	56	1	3	29	42

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

First Channel News

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	26
------------------	----

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	4	0	4	0	0	4	0

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

“Armenia”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	211
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	9	9	0	0	1	0	8
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	6	6	0	0	0	0	6
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	39	33	6	0	6	5	28
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	6	6	0	0	0	0	6
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	10	10	0	0	0	0	10
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	17	12	5	0	3	5	9
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	6	6	0	0	0	0	6
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	2	2	0	0	0	0	2
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	8	8	0	0	0	0	8
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	9	9	0	0	2	0	7
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	3	3	0	0	0	0	3
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	9	9	0	0	1	0	8
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	2	2	0	0	0	0	2
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	90	37	47	6	2	23	65
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	23	17	6	0	3	6	14
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	8	8	0	0	0	0	8
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	9	9	0	0	0	0	9
	TOTAL	256	186	64	6	18	39	199

“Armenia”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	211
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	3	0	3	0	0	3	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	3	0	3	0	0	3	0

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

“Kentron”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	209
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	8	8	0	0	0	0	8
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	8	7	1	0	0	0	8
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	21	14	7	0	1	1	19
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	5	5	0	0	0	0	5
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	9	8	1	0	2	0	7
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	10	8	2	0	0	0	10
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	37	30	7	0	12	1	24
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	6	6	0	0	0	0	6
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	2	2	0	0	0	0	2
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	10	9	1	0	0	0	10
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	7	6	1	0	1	0	6
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	6	6	0	0	0	0	6
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	4	4	0	0	0	0	4
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	8	7	1	0	0	0	8
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	5	5	0	0	0	0	5
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	117	12	104	1	0	49	68
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	15	7	7	1	0	1	14
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	4	4	0	0	0	0	4
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	9	8	1	0	0	0	9
	TOTAL	291	156	133	2	16	52	223

“Kentron”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	209
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	3	0	2	1	0	3	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	3	0	2	1	0	3	0

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

“Shant”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	162
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	11	2	9	0	1	0	10
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	10	1	9	0	1	0	9
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	43	32	11	0	11	5	27
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	15	2	13	0	2	0	13
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	13	1	12	0	5	0	8
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	14	10	4	0	2	1	11
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	21	8	13	0	2	6	13
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	13	1	12	0	0	0	13
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	6	1	5	0	0	0	6
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	10	1	9	0	1	0	9
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	15	3	12	0	0	0	15
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	8	2	6	0	0	0	8
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	6	1	5	0	0	0	6
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	12	3	9	0	0	0	12
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	67	32	35	0	14	11	42
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	34	19	15	0	2	5	27
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	10	1	9	0	0	0	10
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	13	3	10	0	0	0	13
	TOTAL	330	123	207	0	41	28	261

“Shant”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	162
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	1	0	1	0	0	1	0

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

“5 TV Channel”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	295
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	5	1	4	0	0	0	5
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	72	43	29	0	14	5	53
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	5	1	4	0	0	0	5
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	9	3	6	0	0	0	9
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	10	2	8	0	0	0	10
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	45	25	20	0	5	3	37
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	4	1	3	0	0	0	4
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	10	3	7	0	0	0	10
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	7	1	6	0	0	0	7
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	4	1	3	0	0	0	4
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	4	2	2	0	0	0	4
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	6	1	5	0	0	0	6
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	5	1	4	0	0	0	5
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	240	48	192	0	0	183	57
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	88	50	38	0	37	0	51
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	5	1	4	0	1	0	4
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	8	5	3	0	0	0	8
	TOTAL	535	189	346	0	57	191	287

“5 TV Channel”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	295
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	2	0	2	0	0	2	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	5	0	5	0	0	5	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	33	0	29	4	0	33	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	2	0	2	0	0	2	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	42	0	38	4	0	42	0

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

“FreeNews”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	175
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	3	2	1	0	0	0	3
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	3	3	0	0	0	0	3
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	55	22	33	0	0	43	12
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	4	3	1	0	0	0	4
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	4	2	1	1	0	0	4
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	7	2	5	0	0	2	5
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	29	8	19	2	0	20	9
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	3	3	0	0	0	0	3
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	3	3	0	0	0	0	3
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	4	3	1	0	0	1	3
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	4	3	1	0	1	0	3
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	3	3	0	0	0	0	3
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	2	2	0	0	0	0	2
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	3	3	0	0	0	0	3
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	2	2	0	0	0	0	2
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	97	35	61	1	21	0	76
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	37	5	31	1	0	24	13
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	7	7	0	0	0	0	7
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	5	3	2	0	0	0	5
	TOTAL	275	114	156	5	22	90	163

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

“FreeNews”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	175
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	3	0	3	0	0	3	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	8	0	8	0	0	8	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	7	0	7	0	0	7	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	19	0	19	0	0	19	0

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

“Azatutyun TV”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	218
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	5	2	3	0	0	0	5
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	69	27	42	0	1	7	61
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	15	5	10	0	0	0	15
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	49	21	28	0	0	9	40
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	2	1	1	0	0	0	2
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	7	1	6	0	0	0	7
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	193	37	156	0	7	58	128
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	66	23	43	0	0	9	57
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	14	8	6	0	0	0	14
	TOTAL	434	126	308	0	8	83	343

“Azatutyun TV”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	218
------------------	-----

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	12	0	11	1	0	12	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	9	0	8	1	0	9	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	13	0	12	1	0	13	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	9	0	7	2	0	9	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
	TOTAL	44	0	39	5	0	44	0

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

“CivilNet”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	29
------------------	----

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	14	2	12	0	0	3	11
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	5	1	3	1	0	0	5
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	3	1	2	0	0	0	3
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	4	2	2	0	0	0	4
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	7	0	7	0	0	1	6
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	2	1	1	0	0	0	2
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	2	2	0	0	0	0	2
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY <i>(until May 27, 2026)</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	28	2	26	0	0	10	18
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	12	0	12	0	0	3	9
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	2	2	0	0	0	0	2
	TOTAL	84	14	69	1	0	17	67

“CivilNet”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	29
------------------	----

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	3	0	3	0	0	3	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	3	0	3	0	0	3	0

2026 NATIONAL ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS

“Factor TV”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	39
------------------	----

	Party/Bloc	Number of references to a party/bloc (in units)	Form of references to a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of references to a party/bloc (in units)		
			Pieces, fully dealing with a party/bloc	Pieces, partly dealing with a party/bloc	Mentionings about a party/bloc	+	-	0
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	2	1	1	0	0	0	2
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	3	1	2	0	0	0	3
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	27	3	24	0	0	6	21
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	5	1	4	0	0	0	5
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	9	2	7	0	0	1	8
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	19	2	16	1	0	3	16
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	5	3	2	0	0	0	5
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	4	1	3	0	0	0	4
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	38	8	30	0	1	12	25
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	27	2	24	1	0	6	21
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
	TOTAL	150	25	123	2	1	28	121

“Factor TV”

May 8 - June 5, 2026

Number of pieces	39
------------------	----

	Party/Bloc	Number of ethics violations in statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)	Source of statements/video excerpts about a party/bloc (in units)			Nature of Violation		
			Programme host/author of the piece	Representative of a political force participating in the elections	Others	Respect for privacy and other human rights	Targeting, insults, and hate speech	Discrimination on any grounds
1.	REFORMISTS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	“AGAINST ALL” DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.	“STRONG ARMENIA” BLOC	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
4.	MERITOCRATIC PARTY OF ARMENIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	“NEW POWER” REFORMIST PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	“WINGS OF UNITY” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7.	“PROSPEROUS ARMENIA” PARTY	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
8.	“NATIONAL-DEMOCRATIC ALLIANCE” ALL-ARMENIAN PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	“KOCHARI NATIONAL REVIVAL AND AWAKENING OF THE NATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	“ARMENIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	“REPUBLIC” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	CHRISTIAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	“ALLIANCE” PROGRESSIVE CENTRIST PARTY (until May 27, 2026)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	“DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	“DEMOCRACY LAW DISCIPLINE” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16.	“CIVIL CONTRACT” PARTY	4	0	4	0	0	4	0
17.	“ARMENIA” BLOC	2	0	2	0	0	2	0
18.	“FOR THE REPUBLIC” ALLIANCE OF DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19.	“BRIGHT ARMENIA” PARTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	8	0	8	0	0	8	0